

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,442	12/12/2003	Helmut Steinhilber	074623.0111	9668	
7590 06/14/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Andreas Grubert			JOERGER, KAITLIN S		
Baker Botts L.L	P.				
One Shell Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
910 Louisiana			3653		
Houston, TX 77002-4995			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/734,442	STEINHILBER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kaitlin S. Joerger	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ame	ndment filed 15 Martch 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	, —					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4 and 11-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10 and 14-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 62240237 A.

The JP '037 patent teaches a device for selecting sheets of a record carriers from a pile, comprising:

- a rolling action device, 1, that lies on the uppermost sheet of the pile and exerts a rolling action on the uppermost sheet in a feeding direction, see figure 2;
- a stop, 12, mounted before the front edge of the pile pointing in the feeding direction, the from edge of the sheet is moved against the stop and wherein the stop can be moved upwards at an angle of 90 degrees to the flat plane and direction in which the sheet is fed
 - o the stop moves in the plane of its surface
 - o the stop is built of a belt, which runs upward under the impingement angle
 - o the belt is an endless belt whose planar surface is turned towards the pile.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 62240237 A.

Although the JP '237 patent does not specifically teach a method for selecting sheets from a pile, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform the method steps of claims 1-3 using the apparatus taught by the JP '237 reference at the device of the JP '237 comprises all the feature of the claimed invention and would perform the claimed method steps when used in it usual and expected manner.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 62240237 A in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,579,329.

The JP '237 patent does not teach a selection method wherein a dividing element is moved between the lifted front edge and the next sheet in the pile, but the '329 patent does. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the dividing element of the '329 patent with the apparatus of the '237 patent in order to surely separate the top sheet from the pile and prevent two or more sheets from being removed from the pile at one time.

Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 62240237 A in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,579,329.

The JP '237 patent does not teach a dividing element that can be mover between the lifted front edge and the next sheet in the pile, but the '329 patent does. The '329 patent teaches a

dividing element, 20, mounted before the front edge of the pile that can be moved between the uppermost sheet and the following sheet in the pile, the dividing element intervenes by means of a finger and includes a press-on roller, see figure 8.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the dividing element of the '329 patent with the apparatus of the '237 patent in order to surely separate the top sheet from the pile and prevent two or more sheets from being removed from the pile at one time.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-12, filed 15 March 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4, 5-10, and 14-17 under 35 U.S.C. 1-2 and 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly applied prior art, JP Patent 62240237 A, see the above rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaitlin S. Joerger whose telephone number is 571-272-6938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,442

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Jun Jan

KATHY MATECKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600